

Comments on Draft Minutes of January 3, 2013 PC Meeting

The following suggested changes to Item 1 on the January 17, 2013, Newport Beach Planning Commission agenda are submitted by: Jim Mosher (jimmosher@yahoo.com), 2210 Private Road, Newport Beach 92660 (949-548-6229)

Page 2:

First line under Item 3: "... addressing the ~~applicants~~ applicant's request ..."

Fifth paragraph from end: "Mr. Sham was concerned about the ~~precedence~~ precedent the requested height was setting."

Page 3:

Sixth paragraph from end: "... adhering to the ~~prominent~~ predominant line of existing development ..."

Final sentence: "A ~~prominent~~ predominant line of development is established and he saw no visual impact."

Page 4:

Fourth line from end of long paragraph: "... and a unifying paint color ~~palate~~ palette."

Fourth paragraph from end: "He commented that ~~the~~ Gallo's currently has parking available ..."

Final paragraph: "... a preliminary Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) had been ~~conducted~~ prepared (?)..."

Page 5:

Seventh paragraph: "Commissioner Brown commented positively ~~with relevance~~ relative to keeping Gallo's blue awning ..."

Page 6:

Motion at top of page: I am not suggesting any change to the minutes, but I believe the audio recording might reveal the motion that was voted on actually included a reference to "Variance No. VA2012-007," since that was the wording of the recommended action on the agenda and in the staff report. I believe "Variance No. VA2012-002," per the resolution which I assume was signed, and the draft minutes, is correct. I might further comment that it remains unclear to me if a "variance" exists as a separate numbered document (or file of documents?) apart from the resolution, and if so what that document looks like or where it can be inspected. If it is separate, it would seem important that the Commissioners (and public) be able to review it to know for sure what is being approved.